UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	D. OF N.Y
ROLA NESHEIWAT,	Docket No.:
Plaintiff, - against -	ECF CASE ROBINSON
STAPLES INC.,	COMPLAINT 06 CIV. 5752
Defendant.	PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, ROLA NESHEIWAT, by her attorneys, GOODSTEIN & WEST, complaining of the Defendant, alleges as follows:

1. This is an action for compensatory damages and punitive damages on behalf of plaintiff. This suit is authorized pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 USC §2000e et. seq.). Plaintiff also has a pendent state claim pursuant to the New York Human Rights Law (N.Y.S. Executive Law §290 et seq.). Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 42 USC §2000e-5(f) and 28 USC §1343; 28 USC §\$2201, 2002 and under the Principle of Pendent Jurisdiction. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked to secure protection of and redress deprivation of rights secured by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 USC §2000e et. seq.) providing for equitable and legal relief against sexual discrimination and retaliation in employment; and the New York State Human Rights Law (NYS Executive Law §290 et seq.).

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, ROLA NESHEIWAT, (hereinafter "NESHEIWAT") is a female who resided in the County of Dutchess in the State of New York and now resides in Tampa, Florida. Plaintiff has filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and has received

a Notice of Right to Sue. (Copies of the charge of discrimination and Notice of Right to Sue are annexed as Exhibits 1 and 2 respectively.)

- 3. This action has been brought within 90 days of receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue regarding the complaint.
- 4. Defendant STAPLES INC. (hereinafter "STAPLES"), is a corporation doing business in the State of New York with an office located at 100 Haddon Drive, Montgomery, New York 12549 in the County of Orange. Defendant is an employer as defined by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. On information and belief, defendant has employed more than 1000 employees in each of 20 or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year.

FACTS

- 5. Plaintiff, ROLA NESHEIWAT is a female and was hired by Respondent at the facility located at 100 Haddon Drive, Montgomery, New York, on or about October 2005.
- 6. Plaintiff's supervisor, Lionel, continued to asked her inappropriate questions regarding her personal relationships.
 - 7. Further, Lionel, would make physical suggestive gestures towards plaintiff.
 - 8. Lionel also asked plaintiff whether she wanted a "kiss for Christmas."
- 9. On Friday, December 23, 2005, plaintiff went to see Lionel in his office to verify a task. During this conversation in his office, Lionel asked her to sit down and proceeded to ask her whether or not she was married or whether she currently had a boyfriend. He then asked plaintiff if she lived alone. Plaintiff responded to these questions but felt very uncomfortable. Lionel then asked plaintiff to meet him at "Torches", a local bar and restaurant at 12:00 a.m. so that he could take plaintiff out. As he said this he winked his eye towards plaintiff once again. Plaintiff felt very

uncomfortable about this conversation and felt quite uneasy. Plaintiff reported the harassment to Eric Bunten, the third shift lead at approximately 6:00 a.m.

- 10. Plaintiff did not meet Lionel at 12:00 that evening as he requested. When plaintiff came to work the next Monday evening, for her regularly scheduled shift, Lionel's demeanor had changed; he became firm and strict towards plaintiff. At approximately 12:10 a.m., plaintiff was lead by Lionel to his office. At that point in time, plaintiff was terminated due to her two month appraisal.
- 11. Plaintiff was terminated despite the fact she had just received a satisfactory report from Don Halpern, two work days prior to her termination. Plaintiff then reported to the second shift operations manager all of Lionel's inappropriate behavior.
- 12. As a result of this report, plaintiff was interviewed by representatives from the company, specifically, Gina Sypher, Human Resource Manager.
- 13. By letter dated January 3, 2005 (sic) Ms. Sypher confirmed her meeting with plaintiff on December 28, 2005. Ms Sypher informed plaintiff that Staples was conducting a prompt and thorough investigation to determine whether any corrective action was appropriate.
- 14. By letter dated January 6, 2006 Ms. Sypher informed plaintiff that "based on our investigation thus far, we have been unable to draw any conclusions about your allegations." However, plaintiff was not reinstated to my position with Staples Inc.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

15. Plaintiff was subjected to sexual discrimination and/or retaliation for exercising her rights and opposing discriminatory practices made unlawful by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 USC §2000e et. seq.).

PENDENT STATE CLAIM

- 16. Defendant STAPLES is an employer as that term is defined by the New York State Human Rights Law (Executive Law §290 et seq.).
- 17. Plaintiff NESHEIWAT was subjected to sexual discrimination and/or retaliation in consequence of her exercising her right under New York State law and opposing discriminatory practices made unlawful by the Human Rights Law (NYS Executive Law §296 et seq.).
- 18. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on her Title VII causes of action and on her pendent State Human Rights claims.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant as follows:

On Plaintiff's First Cause of Action

- (a) An award of back pay including all raises, promotions and benefits from December 26, 2005 to the present;
- (b) Reinstatement of plaintiff's employment with full seniority and promotions with commensurate salary and benefits retroactive to December 26, 2005;
- (c) An award of compensatory damages and/or punitive damages pursuant to

 Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 in an amount permitted by law;
- (d) An award of reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; and
- (e) Costs and interest from December 26, 2005.

On Plaintiff's Pendent New York State Cause of Action

(a) An Award of compensatory damages in the amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000); and

(b) Costs and interest from December 26, 2005.

Together with such other and further relief as to the Court seems just and proper.

Dated: New Rochelle, New York July 27, 2006

Yours, etc.,

GOODSTEAN & WEST

ROBERT DAVID GOODSTEIN (RDG 5443)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
56 Harrison Street, Suite 401
New Rochelle, New York 10801

(914) 632-8382

EXHIBIT 1

CUMD826 KNO-6A-0	e vorancie i pocume	ent 1 Filed 07/	<u>/3</u> 1/06 I	age / of 10	
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974;	See Privacy Act Statement before	•	FEPA		
completing this form.		XX	EEOC		
			-	.l <u></u>	and EEOC
	State or local Ag	rency if any			
NAME(Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	State of local Ag		LEPHONE (In	clude Area Codel	
MS. ROLA NESHEIWAT) 309-96		
STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE AND ZIP CO	DDF	 		DATE OF BIRTH
15B CANTERBURY LANE, WAPPIN					8/24/81
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGAN AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST N			HIP COMMIT	TEE, STATE OR L	OCAL GOVERNMENT
NAME STAPLES INC.	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, ME OVER 1,000	EMBERS		TELEPHONE (In	clude Area Codel
STREET ADDRESS 100 HADDON DRIVE, MONTGOMER	CITY, STATE AND ZIP CO Y, NEW YORK 12549	DDE			COUNTY ORANGE
NAME		TELEPHON	E NUMBER /	Include Area Code	
	•	ľ			
STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE AND ZIP CO	DE			COUNTY
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Ch.	eck appropriate box(es))		DAT	DISCRIMINATIO	N TOOK PLACE
			EARL	IEST (ADEA/EPA)	LATEST (ALL)
RACE COLOR	XX SEX RELIG	ION AGE			
XX RETALIATION NATION	NAL DISABILITY	OTHER (Spec	cify) 1	/06/06	
ORIGIN	 			CONTINUI	NG ACTION
			1		
HE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is	. Heeded, attach extra sheetis.	//.			
SE	E ANNEXED	V.			
			, A		
	*	1			
			,		
			March.		
	•		Notar	Darlene Bellis Public, State of N	Jew York
			F	lea. # 01BE6094	361
		·	Qua	ified in Dutchess n Expires June 16	County 2007
			COUNTRIES	II EXPIRES OFFICE TO	,
	×	NOTARY - (When r	necessary for	State and Local I	Penuiramental
vant this charge filed with both the EEOC and		100000	, 50	00:	to quitornerita)
any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone mber and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my		I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true			
arge in accordance with their proceedures.		to the best of my k			
eclare under penalty of perjury that the foreg	oing is true and correct.	SIGNATURE OF CO		·	
\bigcap_{i}		Kala Medi A			
KMA n.t.	$\mathcal V$	SUBSCRIBED AND	,	BEFORE ME THIS	DATE
100 Voc 108Vh	\checkmark	(Day, month, and year	-)		
e Charging Party (Si	ignature)				i

ROLA NESHEIWAT EEOC CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION - PARTICULARS

- 1. I was hired by Respondent at the facility located at 100 Haddon Drive, Montgomery, New York, on or about October 2005.
- 2. My supervisor, Lionel, continued to ask me inappropriate questions regarding my personal relationships.
- 3. Further, Lionel, would make physical suggestive gestures towards me.
- 4. Lionel also asked me whether I wanted a "kiss for Christmas."
- 5. On Friday, December 23, 2005, I went to see Lionel in his office to verify a task. During this conversation in his office, Lionel asked me to sit down and proceeded to ask me whether or not I was married or whether I currently had a boyfriend. He then asked me if I lived alone. I responded to these questions but felt very uncomfortable. Lionel then asked me to meet me at "Torches", a local bar and restaurant at 12:00 a.m. so that he could take me out. As he said this he winked his eye towards me once again. I felt very uncomfortable about this conversation and felt quite uneasy. I reported the harassment to Eric Bunten, the third shift lead at approximately 6:00 a.m.
- 6. I did not meet Lionel at 12:00 that evening as he requested. When I cam to work the next Monday evening, for my regularly scheduled shift, Lionel's demeanor had changed; he became firm and strict towards me. At approximately 12:10 a.m., I was lead by Lionel to his office. At that point in time, I was terminated due to my two month appraisal.
- 7. I was terminated despite the fact I had just received a satisfactory report from Don Halpern, two work days prior to her termination. I then reported to the second shift operations manager all of Lionel's inappropriate behavior.
- 8. As a result of this report, I was interviewed by representatives from the company, specifically, Gina Sypher, Human Resource Manager.
- 9. By letter dated January 3, 2005 (sic) Ms. Sypher confirmed her meeting with me on December 28, 2005. Ms Sypher informed me that Staples was conducting a prompt and thorough investigation to determine whether any corrective action was appropriate.
- 10. By letter dated January 6, 2006 Ms. Sypher informed me that "based on our investigation thus far, we have been unable to draw any conclusions about your allegations." However, I was not reinstated to my position with Staples Inc.

EXHIBIT 2

EEOC Form 161 (3/98)

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

From: **Boston Area Office - 523** To: Rola Nesheiwat John F. Kennedy Fed Bldg 15b Cantebury Lane Government Ctr, Room 475 Wappingers Falls, NY 12590 Boston, MA 02203 On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a)) **EEOC Representative** Telephone No. EEOC Charge No. Edward J. Ostolski, (617) 565-3214 Investigator 520-2006-01363 THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON: The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC. Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act. The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes. Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge Having been given 30 days in which to respond, you failed to provide information, failed to appear or be available for interviews/conferences, or otherwise failed to cooperate to the extent that it was not possible to resolve your charge. While reasonable efforts were made to locate you, we were not able to do so. You were given 30 days to accept a reasonable settlement offer that affords full relief for the harm you alleged. The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge. The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge. Other (briefly state) - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -(See the additional information attached to this form.) Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a state claim may be different.) Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. n behalf of the Commission MUN 27 2006

> Robert L. Sanders, Area Office Director

(Date Mailed)

Enclosures(s)

CC:

STAPLES INC 100 Haddon Drive Montgomery, NY 12549